8	3B2v7.51c GML4.3.1	MAGMA : 8775	Prod.Type:COM pp.1-2(col.fig.:NIL)	ED:Chanak PAGN: keshava SC	shi CAN: PROFI	
			ARTICLE IN F	PRESS		
	NH					journal of magnetism and magnetic materials
]	ELSEVIER	Journal of Mag	netism and Magnetic Mater	ials I (IIII) III–III	www.elsev	vier.com/locate/jmm
	Mic	cromagnetic st	ructures in so	quare magne	etic nai	nodots
	M. Maica	as ^a , M.A. Rivero ^a ,	E. López ^{a,*} , M.	C. Sánchez ^a , C.	Aroca ^b ,	P. Sánchez
	a	Departmento de Física de Mat ^b ^b I.S.O.M., E.T.S.	eriales, Facultad de CC. Fís I. Telecomunicación, Univ.	icas, Univ. Complutense, . Politécnica, 28040 Madrid	28040 Madrid, I, Spain	Spain
I	Abstract					6

The structure of magnetization in magnetic square dots is studied in the range of tens of nanometer by means of micromagnetic simulations. Two magnetic configurations are found, *diagonal* and *vortex* structures for thin films, *flower* and *vortex* for magnetic nanocubes and a hybrid *flower* in bulk and *vortex* near the surfaces for dots with thicknesses larger than dot edge length. © 2002 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

23 Keywords: Micromagnetism; Nanodot; Magnetostatic; Simulation

25

The rapidly decreasing size of magnetic devices has 27 increased the interest in magnetic structures for particles at nanoscale dimensions. Nanoimprint lithography can 29 result in magnetic nanostructures which seem applicable for ultrahigh recording media [1]. For such high 31 densities perpendicular recording seems to be appropriate [2]. Magnetic dots with a thickness higher than 33 dot base dimensions exhibit perpendicular magnetic orientation. This makes an interesting review of the 35 magnetic layer thickness influence on magnetic structures for magnetic dots.

37 Micromagnetic simulations prove to be specially appropriate for nanoparticles. Calculations with cell
39 dimensions over the *exchange length* may result in computational errors due to an undervaluated exchange
41 energy. On the other hand, magnetostatic energy

evaluation becomes very time consuming for grids with
a large number of cells. At nanoscale dimensions we can work with grids with not a large number of cells, keeping
cell dimensions below the *exchange length*. Calculations

47 energies. The evaluation of magnetostatic energy is

49 accelerated by means of the fast Fourier transform technique [4]. Parameters used in the calculations are

51 typical for permalloy $M_{\rm s} = 800 \,{\rm emu/cm^3}$, $A_{\rm ex} = 1.3 \times 10^{-6} \,{\rm erg/cm}$ and an uniaxial anisotropy of K = 53

*Corresponding author. Tel.: + 34-91-3944549; fax: + 34-91-55 3944547.

E-mail address: elolopez@eucmax.sim.ucm.es (E. López).

 100 erg/cm^3 parallel to the x-axis has also been considered. The criterion for convergence used was a maximum variation in magnetization director cosines smaller than 2×10^{-4} and the grid cells used were cubes of 3.125 nm edge length. These latter parameters were used in all calculations in order to keep the same error when making comparisons.

57

59

61

63 While anisotropy can play an important role in magnetic distribution in dots with dimensions in the 65 range of hundreds of nanometers [5] at the scale of tens of nanometers, magnetization distribution appears 67 mainly dependent on exchange and magnetostatic interactions. From the exchange point of view, magne-69 tization tries to get nearly parallel in order to reduce the exchange contribution. From the magnetostatic point of 71 view, magnetization tries to avoid surface poles. The smaller the sample is, the more important the exchange 73 becomes and so dots of few tens of nanometers exhibit nearly parallel magnetic configurations. For bigger dots 75 magnetization tries to avoid surface poles and dots exhibit circular rotating magnetization configurations. 77 Certain transition dimensions appear for which these two different structures have nearly the same energy. 79

For thin film magnetic dots calculations lead to two different configurations as shown in Fig. 1 [6,7], one with magnetization parallel to the square diagonal, *diagonal*, and another with rotating magnetization, *vortex*. A third structure can be reached with magnetization parallel to dot edge although with higher energy than that with magnetization parallel to the dot 85

MAGMA : 8775

ARTICLE IN PRESS



M. Maicas et al. | Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials & (****)



Fig. 1. (a) diagonal and (b) vortex magnetization configurations in a 50×50 nm base, 12.5 nm height magnetic dot.

15

Table 1

17 Magnetic energy in a 10 nm thick dot for different dot dimensions

Dot edge (nm)	Diagonal (erg)	Vortex (erg)
25	$5.6 imes 10^{-12}$	No convergence
50	$1.4 imes 10^{-11}$	2.1×10^{-11}
100	$3.3 imes 10^{-11}$	$3.0 imes 10^{-11}$
200	$6.9 imes 10^{-11}$	$4.3 imes 10^{-11}$

²⁵

27 diagonal. Table 1 shows the magnetic energy for different dot dimensions. The smaller the dot, the more
29 stable is the *diagonal* state, while the bigger the sample the more stable is the *vortex* state.

- 31 The effect of the thickness on the magnetic energy figures can be seen in Table 2. The *diagonal* state
- becomes more stable for thinner sample. No convergence is even reached for the *vortex* state for very small
 thicknesses. For the cube, the *diagonal* state dissapears
- in favor of the *flower* state [8]. It can be seen that the energy of the *flower* and *vortex* states are very similar for a nanocube of 50 nm edge length. For a thicker sample a
- and number of some edge longth. For a thread sample a
 new magnetic distribution appears as a mix of the *flower* and *vortex* structures. Fig. 2 shows the magnetic layout
- 41 in the lower, middle and upper layers in a $50 \times 50 \text{ nm}^2$ base, 100 nm height magnetic dot. In this case the
- 43 magnetization in the center is parallel to the large axis while upper and lower layers exhibit a *vortex*-like
 45 structure with the same distribution but opposite directions.
- 47 This work was partially supported by CICYT projects MAT98-0824-C02 and MAT2000-0330-P4-03.

Thickness (nm)	Diagonal (erg)	Vortex (erg)
5 12 25 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 6.6 \times 10^{-12} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ 5.8 \times 10^{-11} \\ 9.9 \times 10^{-11} \\ \text{No convergence} \end{array}$	No convergence 2.7×10^{-11} 5.2×10^{-11} 9.9×10^{-11} 1.7×10^{-10}
(a)	(b)	
$(c) \rightarrow$		



81

83

85

87

References

- [1] L. Torres, et al., J. Appl. Phys. 85 (8) (1999) 6208.
- [2] L. Abelmann, et al., J. Appl. Phys. 87 (9) (2000) 5538.
- [3] A.E. LaBonte, J. Appl. Phys. 40 (1969) 2450.
- [4] M. Mansuripur, R. Giles, IEEE Trans. Magn. 24 (1988) 89 2326.
- [5] R.P. Cowburn, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 33 (2000) R1–R16. 91
- [6] J. Miltat, et al., Proceedings of the Congress on Trends in nanotechnology, Toledo, Spain, 2000.93
- [7] M.A. Rivero, et al., Proceedings of the Congress on Trends in nanotechnology, Toledo, Spain, 2000.
 95
- [8] M.E. Schabes, H.N. Bertram, J. Appl. Phys. 64 (3) (1988) 1347.