X-ray resonant magnetic scattering from structurally and magnetically rough interfaces in multilayered systems. II. Diffuse scattering

D. R. Lee*

Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

S. K. Sinha

Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA and Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

C. S. Nelson,[†] J. C. Lang, C. T. Venkataraman, and G. Srajer Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

R. M. Osgood III

Lincoln Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA (Received 9 May 2003; published 10 December 2003)

The theoretical formulation of x-ray resonant magnetic scattering from rough surfaces and interfaces is given for the diffuse (off-specular) scattering, and general expressions are derived in both the Born approximation (BA) and the distorted-wave Born approximation for both single and multiple interfaces. We also give in the BA the expression for off-specular magnetic scattering from magnetic domains. For this purpose, structural and magnetic interfaces are defined in terms of roughness parameters related to their height-height correlation functions and the correlations between them. The results are generalized to the case of multiple interfaces, as in the case of thin films or multilayers. Theoretical calculations for each of the cases are illustrated as numerical examples and compared with experimental data of magnetic diffuse scattering from a Gd/Fe multilayer.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.68.224410

PACS number(s): 75.70.Cn, 61.10.-i

I. INTRODUCTION

In the preceding paper,¹ we have developed the dynamical theory for x-ray resonant magnetic specular reflectivity using the self-consistent method in the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA). It is important to bear in mind, however, that specular reflectivity, which measures the density profile normal to the surface averaged over the in-plane directions, can yield only information corresponding to the root-mean-square roughness (or equivalently, the average width) of the interfaces. A more complete description of the morphology of the roughness can only be obtained from offspecular or diffuse scattering studies. The first such studies of resonant x-ray magnetic diffuse scattering studies were carried out recently by MacKay et al.² From these measurements, quantities such as the in-plane correlation length of the roughness, the interlayer roughness correlations, and the roughness exponent, can be deduced. These quantities are of considerable importance. In the case of magnetic films, Freeland et al.³ found little correlation of the variations in the magnetic coercive force H_c for a variety of samples with the average roughness (chemical or magnetic) but a systematic dependence on the roughness correlation length. In the case of giant magnetoresistance (GMR) films, contradictory results have been found in studying how the magnitude of the GMR effect depended on the chemical roughness alone, and it is likely that the effect depends on a more detailed set of parameters related to the magnetic (as well as possibly the chemical) roughness.^{4,5} Barnas and Palasantzas⁶ have carried out calculations of the manner in which self-affine roughness

at an interface affects electronic transport.

Methods were developed earlier to calculate analytically the offspecular components of the charge scattering of x rays by rough surfaces and interfaces using the Born approximation (BA) and the DWBA.⁷ We present here the generalization of these methods to the case of resonant magnetic x-ray scattering from surfaces or interfaces of ferromagnetic materials possessing both structural and magnetic roughness. For this purpose, in the preceding paper¹ we have represented the deviations from a smooth magnetic interface in terms of a "rough" magnetic interface, distinct from the structural interface (but possibly correlated strongly with it), with its own self-affine roughness parameters and parameters representing the correlation of the structural with the magnetic roughness height fluctuations. Components of the magnetization at the interface, which are disordered on much shorter length scales, are ignored in this treatment, as they will scatter at much lager q values than those of interest here. The BA results have been previously presented in an earlier publication⁸ and already applied in interpreting x-ray resonant magnetic diffuse scattering measurements from magnetic multilayers.9 We also note that the analogous case of off-specular neutron scattering by magnetic roughness was treated earlier by Sinha¹⁰ and more recent treatment has been given by Toperverg.¹¹

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we derive the expression for the magnetic scattering in the BA, which is presented here in detail for completeness, although a brief account has been published earlier.⁸ In Sec. III, we discuss the magnetic diffuse scattering from magnetic domains in the BA. In Sec. IV, we present the derivation of the resonant magnetic diffuse scattering in the DWBA for a single magnetic interface and discuss numerical results. Finally, in Sec. V, we discuss the extension of the formalism to the case of diffuse scattering from magnetic multilayers and present some numerical results with experimental data from a Gd/Fe multilayer, which were analyzed earlier in the BA.⁹

II. RESONANT MAGNETIC X-RAY SCATTERING IN THE BORN APPROXIMATION

In Sec. III of Paper I,¹ we discussed the dielectric susceptibility $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$ of a resonant magnetic medium. The resonant scattering amplitude density can also be given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{k_0^2}{4\pi} \chi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r})$$

= [-r_0 \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) + n_m(\mathbf{r})A] \delta_{\alpha\beta}
-iBn_m(\mathbf{r}) \sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\gamma\gamma}M_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}) + Cn_m(\mathbf{r})M_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}),
(2.1)

where α , β denote Cartesian components; *A*, *B*, *C* are the energy-dependent parameters defined in Eq. (2.3) of Paper I;¹ and M_{α} is the α component of the unit magnetization vector of magnetic atoms. We may write an effective total electron number density function

$$\rho_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{A}{r_0} n_m(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (2.2)$$

and write

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = -r_0 \bigg[\rho_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) \,\delta_{\alpha\beta} + i \widetilde{B} n_m(\mathbf{r}) \sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} M_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}) \\ - \widetilde{C} n_m(\mathbf{r}) M_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) M_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \bigg], \qquad (2.3)$$

where $\tilde{B} = B/r_0$, $\tilde{C} = C/r_0$.

Let us consider the cross section for scattering for a photon from a state $|\mathbf{k}_i, \mu\rangle$ to a state $|\mathbf{k}_f, \nu\rangle$, where (\mathbf{k}_i, μ) , (\mathbf{k}_f, ν) represent, respectively, the wave vector and polarization state of the incident beam and those of the scattered beam. This is given by

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu\to\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu} = \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}}|\langle\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu|\mathcal{T}|\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu\rangle|^{2},\qquad(2.4)$$

where $\langle \cdots | \mathcal{T} | \cdots \rangle$ denotes the matrix element of the scattering from the interface(s). Let us define axes as shown schematically in Fig. 1, where the *z* axis is normal to the average plane of the interface. In the BA, the matrix element can be written as

$$\langle \mathbf{k}_{f}, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_{i}, \mu \rangle = 4 \pi \sum_{\alpha \beta} e^{*}_{\nu \alpha} e_{\mu \beta} \int d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha \beta}(\mathbf{r}),$$
(2.5)



FIG. 1. Schematic representation of scattering geometry and sketch of the chemical (or structural) $[z_c(x,y)]$ and magnetic $[z_m(x,y)]$ interfaces, which can be separated from one another by an average amount Δ . Grazing angles of incidence (θ_i) and scattering (θ_f), the wave vectors \mathbf{k}_i and \mathbf{k}_f , and the photon polarization vectors of incidence ($\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu=\sigma,\pi}$) and scattering ($\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu=\sigma,\pi}$) are illustrated.

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}$ are the photon polarization vectors corresponding to the final and incident photon state, respectively, and $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}_f - \mathbf{k}_i$. Using Eq. (2.3), we obtain

$$\langle \mathbf{k}_{f}, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_{i}, \mu \rangle = -4 \pi r_{0} \bigg[\sum_{\alpha} e^{*}_{\nu \alpha} e_{\mu \alpha} \rho_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{q}) \\ + i \sum_{\alpha \beta \gamma} e^{*}_{\nu \alpha} e_{\mu \beta} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \tilde{B} M^{(1)}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{q}) \\ - \sum_{\alpha \beta} e^{*}_{\nu \alpha} e_{\mu \beta} \tilde{C} M^{(2)}_{\alpha \beta}(\mathbf{q}) \bigg], \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$\rho_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{q}) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \rho_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{r}),$$
$$M_{\gamma}^{(1)}(\mathbf{q}) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} n_m(\mathbf{r}) M_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}),$$
$$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} n_m(\mathbf{r}) M_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) M_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}).$$
(2.7)

We now restrict ourselves to the simplified model discussed in Sec. II of Paper I,¹ of a single interface between a magnetic and a nonmagnetic medium with a chemical (or structural) interface defined by a height $z_c(x,y)$ and a magnetic interface defined by a height $z_m(x,y)$. In accordance with previous approximations valid for small $q \ll a^{-1}$, where *a* is an interatomic spacing, we may assume $\rho_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})$ constant with the value ρ_1 for $z < z_c(x,y)$ and the value ρ_2 for $z > z_c(x,y)$, and $n_m(\mathbf{r})$, $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})$ constant for $z < z_m(x,y)$ and zero for $z > z_m(x,y)$. In this case, after carrying out the *z* integration, Eq. (2.7) simplifies to

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{q}) &= i \frac{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{q_z} \int \int dx dy e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}} e^{-iq_z z_c(x,y)}, \\ M_{\gamma}^{(1)}(\mathbf{q}) &= i \frac{n_m M_{\gamma}}{q_z} \int \int dx dy e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}} e^{-iq_z z_m(x,y)}, \end{split}$$

$$M^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}) = i \frac{n_m M_\alpha M_\beta}{q_z} \int \int dx dy e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}} e^{-iq_z z_m(x,y)},$$
(2.8)

where \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} and \mathbf{r}_{\parallel} are in-plane components of \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{r} , respectively. Let us denote the heights $z_c(x,y)$, $z_m(x,y)$ collectively as $z_i(x,y)$ (i=c,m) and define

$$G_{c} = (\rho_{1} - \rho_{2})(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^{*} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}),$$
$$G_{m} = in_{m}[\tilde{B}(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^{*} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{M}} + i\tilde{C}(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^{*} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{M}})(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{M}})]. \quad (2.9)$$

Then from Eqs. (2.4) and (2.6) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{(\mathbf{k}_i,\mu)\to(\mathbf{k}_f,\nu)} = \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} \sum_{i,j=c,m} G_i G_j^* S_{ij}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$S_{ij} = \int \int \int \int dx dy dx' dy' e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}')} e^{-iq_z[z_i(x,y) - z_j(x',y')]}.$$
(2.11)

Except in cases where the incident x-ray beam is coherent over the sample, we may introduce the usual statistical configurational averages to evaluate S_{ii} to obtain

$$S_{ij} = \mathcal{A}e^{-iq_{z}(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{z_{j}})} \int \int dXdY e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \langle e^{-iq_{z}[\delta z_{i}(\mathbf{R})-\delta z_{j}(0,0)]} \rangle,$$
(2.12)

where \mathcal{A} is the illuminated surface area, $\mathbf{R} [=(X,Y)\equiv(x - x', y - y')]$ measures the in-plane separation of two points on the appropriate interfaces, and $\delta z_c(\mathbf{R})$, $\delta z_m(\mathbf{R})$ are the height deviations from the average heights \overline{z}_c , \overline{z}_m of the chemical (structural) and magnetic interfaces, respectively. We allow for the possibility of a finite separation Δ between the structural and magnetic interfaces, as shown in Fig. 1. If we make the customary Gaussian approximation for the height fluctuations $\delta z_c(x,y)$, $\delta z_m(x,y)$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{split} S_{ij} &= \mathcal{A}e^{-iq_{z}(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{z_{j}})} \int \int dXdY e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \\ &\times e^{-(1/2)q_{z}^{2}\langle[\delta z_{i}(X,Y)-\delta z_{j}(0,0)]^{2}\rangle} \\ &= e^{-(1/2)q_{z}^{2}(\sigma_{i}^{2}+\sigma_{j}^{2})}\mathcal{A}e^{-iq_{z}(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{z_{j}})} \int \int dXdY \\ &\times e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{R}}e^{q_{z}^{2}C_{ij}(\mathbf{R})} \\ &= e^{-(1/2)q_{z}^{2}(\sigma_{i}^{2}+\sigma_{j}^{2})}e^{-iq_{z}(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{z_{j}})}4\pi^{2}\mathcal{A}\delta(q_{x})\delta(q_{y}) + S_{ij}' \\ &\quad (i,j=c,m), \end{split}$$

$$(2.13)$$

where

$$S_{ij}' = e^{-(1/2)q_z^2(\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2)} e^{-iq_z(\overline{z}_i - \overline{z}_j)} \mathcal{A}$$

 $\times \int \int dX dY e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}} [e^{q_z^2 C_{ij}(\mathbf{R})} - 1], \quad (2.14)$



FIG. 2. Schematic representation of rough structural and magnetic interfaces in a multilayer.

$$C_{cc}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \delta z_c(0) \, \delta z_c(\mathbf{R}) \rangle,$$

$$C_{mm}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \delta z_m(0) \, \delta z_m(\mathbf{R}) \rangle,$$

$$C_{cm}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \delta z_c(0) \, \delta z_m(\mathbf{R}) \rangle,$$
(2.15)

and σ_c , σ_m are the root-mean-squared chemical (structural) and magnetic roughnesses, respectively. Equation (2.13) contains expressions for both the specular scattering (arising from the δ -function containing terms in S_{ij}) and the diffuse (or off-specular) scattering, which include both charge and resonant magnetic scattering.

Collecting the specular terms, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\mu\to\nu}^{\text{spec}} = \frac{4\pi^2 \mathcal{A} r_0^2}{q_z^2} \delta(q_x) \,\delta(q_y) Q, \qquad (2.16)$$

where

$$Q = \sum_{i,j=c,m} e^{-(1/2)q_z^2(\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2)} e^{-iq_z(\overline{z_i} - \overline{z_j})} G_i G_j^*, \quad (2.17)$$

from which the specular reflectivity can be immediately expressed as $R_{\mu\to\nu} = (16\pi^2 r_0^2/q_z^4)Q^7$. The diffuse scattering may be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\mu\to\nu}^{\text{diffuse}} = \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} \sum_{i,j=c,m} G_i G_j^* S_{ij}'.$$
(2.18)

The explicit expressions for the specular reflectivity and diffuse scattering from a rough surface in the BA for specific directions of the magnetizations and the photon polarizations are given in the Appendix.

Let us now consider a multilayer with N interfaces (N – 1 layers). Each layer can be characterized by its effective total electron number density ρ_n for each layer, and by resonant magnetic scattering amplitude densities $n_{m,n}\tilde{B}_n$, $n_{m,n}\tilde{C}_n$ and magnetization vector $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_n$ for resonant magnetic layers. The *n*th interface lies between the *n*th and (n+1)th layers, and its average height is denoted by \overline{z}_n , as shown in Fig. 2.

The specular reflectivity from a multilayer with N interfaces can be then expressed as

$$R_{\mu \to \nu} = \frac{16\pi^2 r_0^2}{q_z^4} \sum_{n,n'}^N \sum_{i,j=c,m} e^{-(q_z^2/2)(\sigma_{i,n}^2 + \sigma_{j,n'}^2)} \times e^{-iq_z(\bar{z}_{i,n} - \bar{z}_{j,n'})} G_{i,n} G_{j,n'}^*, \qquad (2.19)$$

where

$$\widetilde{G}_{c,n} = (\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n)(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^* \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}),$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{m,n} = in_{m,n+1}[\widetilde{B}_{n+1}(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^* \times \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{n+1} + i\widetilde{C}_{n+1}(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^* \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{n+1}) \times (\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{n+1})] - in_{m,n}[\widetilde{B}_n(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^* \times \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_n + i\widetilde{C}_n(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^* \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_n)(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_n)]. \qquad (2.20)$$

The diffuse scattering from a multilayer may be also expressed as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\mu\to\nu}^{\text{diffuse}} = \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} \sum_{n,n'}^N \sum_{i,j=c,m} G_{i,n} G_{j,n'}^* S_{ij,nn'}^*, \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$S'_{ij,nn'} = e^{-(q_z^2/2)(\sigma_{i,n}^2 + \sigma_{j,n'}^2)} e^{-iq_z(\bar{z}_{i,n} - \bar{z}_{j,n'})} \mathcal{A}$$

$$\times \int \int dX dY e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}} [e^{q_z^2 C_{ij,nn'}(\mathbf{R})} - 1],$$

(2.22)

and the cross-correlation functions between the nth and n'th interfaces are defined by

$$C_{cc,nn'}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \delta z_{c,n}(0) \, \delta z_{c,n'}(\mathbf{R}) \rangle,$$

$$C_{mm,nn'}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \delta z_{m,n}(0) \, \delta z_{m,n'}(\mathbf{R}) \rangle,$$

$$C_{cm,nn'}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \delta z_{c,n}(0) \, \delta z_{m,n'}(\mathbf{R}) \rangle.$$
 (2.23)

Here $\delta z_{c,n}$, $\delta z_{m,n}$ are the height deviations from the average heights of the *n*th structural and magnetic interfaces, respectively. We also allow for the possibility of a finite separation Δ_n between the *n*th structural and magnetic interfaces, as shown in Fig. 2. Explicit forms for these cross-correlation functions have been given by several authors, ^{8,9,12,13} and may be substituted in Eq. (2.22) to yield the diffuse scattering cross sections. Numerical examples for the reflectivity and magnetic diffuse scattering for single surfaces and multilayers have been given in previous publications.^{8,9} We defer showing these here until Sec. IV, where we present them together with the results from the DWBA. Explicit forms for specular reflectivity and diffuse scattering from multilayers for specific directions of magnetizations and the photon polarizations are also given in the Appendix.

The Born approximation also allows one to include explicitly the effects of a graded rather than sharp (but rough) magnetic interface, i.e., where the magnetization in the resonant medium is not uniform but decays towards the interface. Let us suppose that in the vicinity of a particular interface, the magnetization component $M_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$ decayed with an envelope function $\Phi(x,y,z)$ (we assume that this is independent of the component α). The height of the magnetic interface $z_m(x,y)$ can then be defined at the lateral position (x,y) as the position of the point of inflection of Φ , i.e., where $(\partial^2/\partial z^2)\Phi(x,y,z)=0$.

If we assume that the function $\Phi(x,y,z-z_m(x,y))$ is independent of (x,y) and can be written as $\tilde{\Phi}(\Delta z)$, then we can define a form factor $\varphi(q_z)$ by

$$\varphi(q_z) = \int d(\Delta z) \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}(\Delta z)}{\partial \Delta z} e^{-iq_z \Delta z}.$$
 (2.24)

Then the effect of the graded interface can be introduced by simply multiplying S_{cm} in Eq. (2.12) by $\varphi(q_z)$ and S_{mm} by $|\varphi(q_z)|^2$. The generalization to the case of multiple interfaces in Eq. (2.22) is obvious.

We should mention, however, that in this case σ_m in Eqs. (2.12) and (2.22) represents purely rough interfacial width rather than the total interfacial width at the magnetic interface. The latter includes the effects of both graded interface due to interdiffusion and interfacial roughness, and specular reflectivity discussed in the preceding paper¹ provides only this total interfacial width. On the other hand, since the correlation functions in Eqs. (2.15) and (2.23) contain only pure roughness, diffuse scattering allows one to distinguish pure interfacial roughness from the graded interface.¹⁴

III. MAGNETIC DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM MAGNETIC DOMAINS IN THE BA

For an unmagnetized or partially magnetized film, there will exist magnetic domains which can give rise to offspecular (diffuse) magnetic scattering even in the absence of magnetic roughness. There are two cases to consider.

(I) If the typical lateral size of the domains is larger than the lateral coherence length of the x-ray beam on the surface of the film, then the scattering will be the sum of the scattering from the magnetized regions. If there is no net magnetization, the terms linear in the magnetization which appear in the interference between the charge and magnetic scattering in Eqs. (2.18) and (A9) will cancel out. In particular, if we neglect the other terms [without \tilde{C} in Eq. (A9)] there will be no magnetic contribution to $[(d\sigma/d\Omega)_+ - (d\sigma/d\Omega)_-]$. Domain scattering will not manifest itself in the off-specular scattering as the length scale involved will be too large for the \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} at which it would occur to be resolved from the width of the specular reflection.

(II) If the lateral size of the domains is smaller than the lateral coherence length of the x rays, domain scattering will manifest itself in the off-specular scattering. (This will also be true of magnetic clusters, dots, or other laterally inhomogeneous magnetic structures in the film). Let us consider a simplified model where the domains are parallel or antiparallel to the average direction of magnetization. This can be expressed by a function p(x,y) which takes the values of +1 for the domain magnetization being parallel to the average.

age direction and -1 for the domain magnetization being antiparallel.

Then if we assume that the function p(x,y) is uncorrelated with structural features at the interface such as the structural roughness, we can define a statistical twodimensional domain correlation function

$$\gamma_d(X,Y) = \langle p(x,y)p(x+X,y+Y) \rangle, \qquad (3.1)$$

which is analogous to the Debye correlation function for a three-dimensional porous medium. This is related to the probability that a vector (X, Y) on the surface has one end in one domain and the other end in a similarly oriented domain. Then the expression for S_{ij} in Eq. (2.12) with i, j = m must be modified to

$$S_{mm} = \mathcal{A} \int \int dX dY e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \langle e^{-iq_{z}[\delta z_{m}(\mathbf{R}) - \delta z_{m}(0,0)]} \rangle \gamma_{d}(X,Y),$$
(3.2)

while S_{cc} , S_{cm} are unmodified. In particular, if we neglect magnetic roughness and consider "only" domain effects,

$$S_{mm} = \mathcal{A} \int \int dX dY e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \gamma_d(X, Y), \qquad (3.3)$$

which will give rise to magnetic domain scattering from an otherwise smooth surface.

A common approximation for random domains and sharp walls $\gamma_d(X,Y) = e^{-R/a}$, where *a* is the average domain size, yields

$$S_{mm} = \mathcal{A} \frac{a^2}{(1+q_{\parallel}^2 a^2)^{3/2}}.$$
(3.4)

From Eq. (2.10) we see that such domains will give magnetic off-specular scattering which will behave asymptotically as q_{\parallel}^{-3} which is the two-dimensional analog of Porod scattering from random smooth interfaces.

IV. DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM A SINGLE MAGNETIC INTERFACE IN THE DWBA

The diffuse scattering in the DWBA can be given, from Eq. (4.13) in the preceding paper,¹ by

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{diffuse}} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} [\langle |\mathcal{T}^{fi}|^2 \rangle - |\langle \mathcal{T}^{fi} \rangle|^2], \qquad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{T}^{fi} = \langle \mathbf{k}_f, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_i, \mu \rangle$ is the scattering matrix element with the vector fields $|\mathbf{k}_i, \mu \rangle$ and $|\mathbf{k}_f, \nu \rangle$, which were defined in Eqs. (4.4) and (4.10) of Paper I.¹ As shown in Eq. (4.14) of Paper I,¹ $\langle \mathbf{k}_f, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_i, \mu \rangle$ can be approximated in the DWBA by the sum of three matrix elements involving $\chi^{(0)}$, Δ^c , and Δ^m , which represent an ideal system with a smooth interface and perturbation on $\chi^{(0)}$ due to structural (chemical) and magnetic roughnesses, respectively, as defined in Sec. IV of Paper I.¹ Bearing in mind that the first term involving $\chi^{(0)}$ vanishes for diffuse scattering, the remaining terms involving only perturbations Δ^c and Δ^m contribute to diffuse scattering, and their matrix elements are evaluated with the vector state $|\mathbf{k}_i, \mu \rangle$ [or $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}_i, \mu)$ in Eq. (4.4) of Paper I¹] and its time-reverse state $|-\mathbf{k}_f^T, \nu\rangle$ [or \mathbf{E}^T $(-\mathbf{k}_f, \nu)$ in Eq. (4.10) of Paper I¹]. Assuming for both $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}_i, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{E}^T(-\mathbf{k}_f, \nu)$ the functional forms for z < 0 analytically continued to z > 0, in the spirit of Ref. 7, gives

$$\langle \mathbf{k}_{f}, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_{i}, \mu \rangle = \sum_{jj'} T_{j\nu}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_{f}) T_{j'\mu}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i}) \frac{ik_{0}^{2}}{q_{tz}(jj')} \\ \times \left[(\chi_{1} - \chi_{0}) \sum_{\alpha} e_{j\alpha}^{*} e_{j'\alpha} \int \int dx dy \right] \\ \times e^{-iq_{tz}(jj')\delta z_{c}(x,y)} e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}} \\ + \sum_{\alpha\beta} e_{j\alpha}^{*} \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} e_{j'\beta} \int \int dx dy \\ \times e^{-iq_{tz}(jj')\delta z_{m}(x,y)} e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}} \right],$$
(4.2)

where

$$\mathbf{q}_t(jj') = \mathbf{k}_f^t(j) - \mathbf{k}_i^t(j'), \qquad (4.3)$$

and $\chi_{0,1}$ and $\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}$ are dielectric susceptibilities defined in Eq. (4.2) of Paper I,¹ and $\mathbf{k}_{i}^{t}(j')$ and $\mathbf{k}_{f}^{t}(j)$ are the transmitted wave vectors for the incident and scattered waves, as defined in Fig. 2 of Paper I.¹ Here $T_{j\nu}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_{f})$ and $T_{j'\mu}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i})$ in Eq. (4.2) are 2×2 matrices denoting the transmission coefficients for $(-\mathbf{k}_{f})$ and \mathbf{k}_{i} waves in Fig. 1, respectively, from the average smooth interface. Their explicit forms for small angles $(\theta_{i}^{2} \leq 1$ for the incidence angle θ_{i}) and $\mathbf{M} \| \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ were given in Appendix A of Paper I.¹ We note that because of the continuity of the wave fields [see Eqs. (4.5) and (4.11) of Paper I¹]

$$[\mathbf{q}_t(jj')]_{\parallel} = \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \quad \text{for all} \quad j,j'. \tag{4.4}$$

Substituting in Eq. (4.1) and carrying out the statistical average over the interface, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\mu\to\nu}^{\text{diffuse}} = \frac{k_0^4}{16\pi^2} \sum_{jj'kk'} T_{j\nu}^{(0)*}(-\mathbf{k}_f) T_{k\nu}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_f) T_{j'\mu}^{(0)*} \\ \times (\mathbf{k}_i) T_{k'\mu}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_i) H(q_{tz}(jj'), q_{tz}(kk')), \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$H(q_{tz}(jj'), q_{tz}(kk'))$$

$$= \frac{1}{q_{tz}^{*}(jj')q_{tz}(kk')} \Big[|\chi_{1} - \chi_{0}|^{2} \Big(\sum_{\alpha} e_{j\alpha} e_{j'\alpha}^{*} \Big) \\ \times \Big(\sum_{\beta} e_{k\beta}^{*} e_{k'\beta} \Big) U_{cc} + \Big(\sum_{\alpha\beta} e_{j\alpha} \chi_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)*} e_{j'\beta}^{*} \Big) \\ \times \Big(\sum_{\gamma\delta} e_{k\gamma}^{*} \chi_{\gamma\delta}^{(2)} e_{k'\delta} \Big) U_{mm} \\ + \Big\{ (\chi_{1} - \chi_{0})^{*} \Big(\sum_{\alpha} e_{j\alpha} e_{j'\alpha}^{*} \Big) \\ \times \Big(\sum_{\gamma\delta} e_{k\gamma}^{*} \chi_{\gamma\delta}^{(2)} e_{k'\delta} \Big) U_{cm} + \text{c.c.} \Big\} \Big]$$

$$(4.6)$$

and

$$\begin{split} U_{ll'} &= \int \int \int \int dx dy dx' dy' \\ &\times \{ \langle e^{i[q_{lz}^*(jj') \, \delta_{z_l}(x,y) - q_{lz}(kk') \, \delta_{z_{l'}}(x',y')]} \rangle \\ &- \langle e^{iq_{tz}^*(jj') \, \delta_{z_l}(x,y)} \rangle \langle e^{-iq_{tz}(kk') \, \delta_{z_{l'}}(x',y')} \rangle \} \\ &\times e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{r}_{\parallel}')} \\ &= \mathcal{A}e^{-(1/2)[q_{tz}^*(jj')^2 \sigma_l^2 + q_{tz}(kk')^2 \sigma_{l'}^2]} \\ &\times \int \int dX dY e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}} (e^{q_{tz}^*(jj')q_{tz}(kk')C_{ll'}(\mathbf{R})} - 1). \end{split}$$

$$(4.7)$$

In Eq. (4.6) c.c. refers to the complex conjugate of the preceding term, and in Eq. (4.7) we have again made the customary Gaussian approximation for $\delta z_l(x,y)$ (l=c,m) in carrying out the average. The notations are the same as in Eqs. (2.13)–(2.15).

For circularly polarized incident x rays with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}_i) = [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}_i) \pm i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pi}(\mathbf{k}_i)]/\sqrt{2}$, the scattering intensities without polarization analysis for the outgoing beam can be evaluated as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\pm} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=\sigma,\pi} |\langle \mathbf{k}_f, \nu| \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_i, \sigma \rangle \pm i \langle \mathbf{k}_f, \nu| \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_i, \pi \rangle|^2,$$
(4.8)

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{+} - \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{-}$$

$$= \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} 2 \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=\sigma,\pi} \langle \mathbf{k}_{f}, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_{i}, \sigma \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}_{f}, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_{i}, \pi \rangle^{*} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{k_{0}^{4}}{8\pi^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=\sigma,\pi} \sum_{jj'kk'} T_{j\nu}^{(0)*}(-\mathbf{k}_{f}) T_{k\nu}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_{f}) \times T_{j'\pi}^{(0)*}(\mathbf{k}_{i}) T_{k'\sigma}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i}) H(q_{tz}(jj'), q_{tz}(kk')) \right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

We shall now illustrate numerical examples calculated again for a Gd surface with various structural and magnetic roughnesses and magnetizations along the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ axis. Here, we have used the height-height correlation functions introduced by Sinha *et al.*⁷ for self-affine fractal interfaces, i.e.,

$$C_{cc}(\mathbf{R}) = \sigma_c^2 e^{-(|\mathbf{R}|/\xi_c)^{2h_c}}, \qquad C_{mm}(\mathbf{R}) = \sigma_m^2 e^{-(|\mathbf{R}|/\xi_m)^{2h_m}},$$
(4.10)

where ξ_c and ξ_m are the lateral correlation lengths of the roughnesses at the structural and magnetic interfaces, respectively, and *h* is the roughness exponent describing how jagged the interface is. For the cross-correlation function be-



FIG. 3. Calculated x-ray resonant magnetic diffuse scattering intensities at the Gd L_3 edge (7243 eV) from Gd surfaces with different roughness parameters: (a) and (b) $(\sigma_c, \sigma_m) = (5 \text{ Å}, 3 \text{ Å})$ and $(\xi_c, \xi_m) = (800 \text{ Å}, 1500 \text{ Å})$. (c) and (d) $(\sigma_c, \sigma_m) = (3 \text{ Å}, 5 \text{ Å})$ with a 20-Å-thick magnetically dead layer and (ξ_c, ξ_m) =(1500 Å,800 Å). Roughness exponent h=0.8 was used for all structural and magnetic interfaces. In (c) and (d) the structural and magnetic interfaces separated by a magnetically dead layer were assumed to be completely correlated (circles), $\xi_{\perp} = \infty$. Solid (dashed) lines represent $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ ($\sigma \rightarrow \pi$) scattering and open (filled) circles represent the positive (negative) values of the differences between I_{\perp} and I_{\perp} . Top panel: longitudinal diffuse scattering with an offset angle of 0.1°. Bottom panel: transverse diffuse scattering at $q_z = 0.2242 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ normalized to unity by the maximum diffuse scattering intensities to clarify the effect of lateral correlation lengths ξ_c and ξ_m .

tween the structural and magnetic interfaces separated by a magnetically dead layer, as shown in Fig. 1, the Schlomka *et al.*¹³ expression was used:

$$C_{cm}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\sigma_c \sigma_m}{2} \left(e^{-(|\mathbf{R}|/\xi_c)^{2h_c}} + e^{-(|\mathbf{R}|/\xi_m)^{2h_m}} \right) e^{-\Delta/\xi_{\perp,cm}},$$
(4.11)

where $\xi_{\perp,cm}$ is the vertical correlation length between the structural and magnetic interfacial roughnesses separated spatially by Δ .

Figure 3 shows the calculations of x-ray resonant magnetic diffuse scattering intensities at the Gd L_3 edge from Gd surfaces with different roughness parameters. In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), the structural interface has a roughness of σ_c = 5 Å and ξ_c = 800 Å, and the magnetic one has σ_m = 3 Å and ξ_m = 1500 Å. On the other hand, in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) the values of roughness parameters for the structural and magnetic interfaces were reversed, and those interfaces are

separated by a 20-Å-thick magnetically dead layer, as shown in Figs. 3(g)-3(i) of Paper I.¹ The roughness exponent h = 0.8 was used for all structural and magnetic interfaces. Longitudinal diffuse scattering intensities in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c) show similar features as specular reflectivities in Figs. 3(a) and 3(g) of Paper I¹ and do not show clearly the effect of different lateral correlation lengths. Instead, since longitudinal diffuse scattering intensity is sensitive to the vertical correlation length between spatially separated interfaces, for the dead-layer sample we performed calculations with and without vertical correlations between the structural and magnetic roughnesses separated by the magnetically dead layer. These vertical correlations are related mainly to the interference term, $(I_+ - I_-)$, as shown in Fig. 3(c), where circles represent a complete vertical correlation $(\xi_1 = \infty)$.

In order to show the effect of the lateral correlation lengths, we have also calculated transverse (or rocking curve) diffuse scattering intensities, where the widths of the diffuse parts depend primarily on the lateral correlation lengths ξ . Figures 3(b) and 3(d) show the transverse diffuse scattering intensities at $q_z = 0.2242 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ normalized to unity by the maximum diffuse scattering intensities to clarify the effect of lateral correlation lengths. From two opposite cases, Figs. 3(b) and 3(d), with reversed values for the structural and magnetic lateral correlation lengths, we find that the width of the diffuse part of each scattering channel can clearly give a direct estimation of the corresponding lateral correlation length, as discussed above, i.e., $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ scattering (solid lines) versus ξ_c and $\sigma \rightarrow \pi$ one (dashed lines) versus ξ_m . The widths for $(I_+ - I_-)$ correspond to the effective lateral correlation length $\overline{\xi}_{cm}$ between the structural and magnetic interfaces, which can be defined from Eq. (4.11) by

$$\exp\left[-\left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{\overline{\xi}_{cm}}\right)^{2\overline{h}_{cm}}\right]$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\exp\left[-\left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{\xi_{c}}\right)^{2h_{c}}\right]+\exp\left[-\left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{\xi_{m}}\right)^{2h_{m}}\right]\right\},\quad(4.12)$$

and then should be between ξ_c and ξ_m . This can be clearly seen in Figs. 3(b) and 3(d).

V. DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM MULTIPLE MAGNETIC INTERFACES IN THE DWBA

For a multilayer with multiple interfaces, following the representation for a single surface taken in Sec. IV of Paper I,¹ each layer can be characterized by its dielectric susceptibility tensor $\chi_{\alpha\beta,n}$ for the *n*th layer, which can be $\chi_{\alpha\beta,n} = \chi_n \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ for nonmagnetic (isotropic) layers and $\chi_{\alpha\beta,n} = \chi_n \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \chi_{\alpha\beta,n}^{(2)}$ for magnetic (anisotropic) layers, as defined in Eq. (3.5) of Paper I.¹ The solution for the electric fields inside the *n*th layer in the case of the "smooth" interfaces can be given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{n}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu) = \sum_{j} T_{n,j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j,n} e^{i\mathbf{k}_{i,n}(j)\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \sum_{j} R_{n,j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j,n} e^{i\mathbf{k}_{i,n}^{r}(j)\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \qquad (5.1)$$

where the amplitudes $T_{n,j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_i,\mu)$ and $R_{n,j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_i,\mu)$ are the vectors $(T_{n,1}^{(0)},T_{n,2}^{(0)})$ and $(R_{n,1}^{(0)},R_{n,2}^{(0)})$ representing two transmitted and two specularly reflected waves with wave vectors $\mathbf{k}_{i,n}(j)$ and $\mathbf{k}_{i,n}^{r}(j)$ in the *n*th layer, respectively, excited by an incident wave in vacuum with wave vector \mathbf{k}_i and polarization μ . These amplitudes can be obtained from recursive 2×2 matrix formalism developed by Stepanov and Sinha¹⁵ and their explicit expressions were given in Appendix E of Paper I.¹ The index *j* represents two components of each field amplitude and defines σ , π component for nonmagnetic layers and (1)-, (2)-component for magnetic ones, as shown in the Appendix. Similarly to Eq. (5.1), the time-reversed waves in the *n*th layer for an incident wave with vector $(-\mathbf{k}_f)$ and polarization ν can be also given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{n}^{T}(-\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu) = \sum_{j} T_{n,j}^{(0)*}(-\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j,n} e^{i\mathbf{k}_{f,n}^{*}(j)\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \sum_{j} R_{n,j}^{(0)*}(-\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j,n} e^{i\mathbf{k}_{f,n}^{r*}(j)\cdot\mathbf{r}}.$$
 (5.2)

For the structurally and magnetically rough interfaces in multilayers, we may write more generally

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n}^{N} \left[\chi_{\alpha\beta,n}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) + \Delta_{\alpha\beta,n}^{c}(\mathbf{r}) + \Delta_{\alpha\beta,n}^{m}(\mathbf{r}) \right], \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta,n}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = \chi_{\alpha\beta,n} \quad \text{for} \quad \overline{z}_n < z < \overline{z}_{n-1}$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{elsewhere,} \tag{5.4}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta,n}^{c}(\mathbf{r}) = (\chi_{n+1} - \chi_{n}) \,\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{for} \quad \overline{z}_{n} < z < \overline{z}_{n} + \delta z_{c,n}(x,y) \quad \text{if} \quad \delta z_{c,n}(x,y) > 0$$
$$= -(\chi_{n+1} - \chi_{n}) \,\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{for} \quad \overline{z}_{n} + \delta z_{c,n}(x,y) < z < \overline{z}_{n} \quad \text{if} \quad \delta z_{c,n}(x,y) < 0$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{elsewhere,} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta,n}^{m}(\mathbf{r}) = (\chi_{\alpha\beta,n+1}^{(2)} - \chi_{\alpha\beta,n}^{(2)}), \quad \text{for} \quad \overline{z}_{n} < z < \overline{z}_{n} + \delta z_{m,n}(x,y) \quad \text{if} \quad \delta z_{m,n}(x,y) > 0$$
$$= -(\chi_{\alpha\beta,n+1}^{(2)} - \chi_{\alpha\beta,n}^{(2)}), \quad \overline{z}_{n} + \delta z_{m,n}(x,y) < z < \overline{z}_{n} \quad \text{if} \quad \delta z_{m,n}(x,y) < 0$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{elsewhere}, \tag{5.6}$$

 $\delta z_{c,n}(x,y)$ and $\delta z_{m,n}(x,y)$ denote the height deviations of the *n*th structural and magnetic interfaces from the average height \overline{z}_n , respectively.

To calculate the diffuse scattering from multilayers, in the spirit of Ref. 7 we assume again for both $\mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{k}_i,\mu)$ and $\mathbf{E}_n^T(-\mathbf{k}_f,\nu)$ inside each layer the functional forms in the *n*th layer, where $\overline{z}_n < z < \overline{z}_n + \delta z_{(c,m),n}(x,y)$ for $\delta z_{(c,m),n}(x,y) > 0$, analytically continued to those in the (n+1)th layer, where $\overline{z}_n + \delta z_{(c,m),n}(x,y) < z < \overline{z}_n$ for $\delta z_{(c,m),n}(x,y) < 0$. Evaluating $\langle \mathbf{k}_f, \nu | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{k}_i, \mu \rangle$ from Eq. (4.14) of Paper I¹ without the first term on the right side and substituting Eqs. (5.5) and (5.6) give

$$\langle \mathbf{k}_{f}, \nu | T | \mathbf{k}_{i}, \mu \rangle$$

$$= k_{0}^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{jj'}^{1,2(\text{or }\sigma,\pi)} \sum_{p=0}^{3} C_{p}^{n+1}(jj') F^{n}(q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')),$$

$$(5.7)$$

where

$$C_{0}^{n+1}(jj') = T_{n+1,j}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu)T_{n+1,j'}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu),$$

$$C_{1}^{n+1}(jj') = T_{n+1,j}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu)R_{n+1,j'}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu),$$

$$C_{2}^{n+1}(jj') = R_{n+1,j}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu)T_{n+1,j'}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu),$$

$$C_{3}^{n+1}(jj') = R_{n+1,j}^{(0)}(-\mathbf{k}_{f},\nu)R_{n+1,j'}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_{i},\mu),$$

$$F^{n}(q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')) = \frac{i}{q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')} \bigg[(\chi_{n+1} - \chi_{n}) \sum_{\alpha} e_{j\alpha,n+1}^{*}$$

$$\times e_{jj} = \int_{\alpha} \int_{\alpha} \int_{\alpha} dx dy e^{-iq_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')\delta_{z_{c,n}}(x,y)}$$

$$\times e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} + \sum_{\alpha\beta} e^*_{j\alpha,n+1}(\chi^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta,n+1} - \chi^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta,n})$$

$$\times e_{j'\beta,n+1} \int \int dx dy e^{-iq_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')\delta_{z_{m,n}(x,y)}}$$
$$\times e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \Big|,$$
(5.9)

and

$$q_{0z}^{n+1}(jj') = k_{fz,n+1}(j) - k_{iz,n+1}(j'),$$

$$q_{1z}^{n+1}(jj') = k_{fz,n+1}(j) - k_{iz,n+1}^{r}(j'),$$

$$q_{2z}^{n+1}(jj') = k_{fz,n+1}^{r}(j) - k_{iz,n+1}(j'),$$

$$q_{3z}^{n+1}(jj') = k_{fz,n+1}^{r}(j) - k_{iz,n+1}^{r}(j').$$
(5.10)

Substituting in Eq. (4.1) and carrying out the statistical average over the interfaces, we finally obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\mu\to\nu}^{\text{diffuse}} = \frac{k_0^4}{16\pi^2} \sum_{n,n'=0}^{N-1} \sum_{jj'kk'}^{1,2(\sigma,\pi)} \sum_{p,p'=0}^3 C_p^{n+1}(jj') \\ \times C_{p'}^{n'+1*}(kk') \\ \times \{\langle F^n(q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj'))F^{n'*}(q_{p'z}^{n'+1}(kk'))\rangle \\ - \langle F^n(q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj'))\rangle\langle F^{n'*}(q_{p'z}^{n'+1}(kk'))\rangle \},$$

$$(5.11)$$

and

$$\langle F^{n}(q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj'))F^{n'*}(q_{p'z}^{n'+1}(kk'))\rangle - \langle F^{n}(q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj'))\rangle \langle F^{n'*}(q_{p'z}^{n'+1}(kk'))\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')q_{p'z}^{n'+1*}(kk')} \bigg[|\chi_{n+1} - \chi_{n}|^{2} \bigg(\sum_{\alpha} e_{j\alpha,n+1}^{*} e_{j'\alpha,n+1} \bigg) \bigg(\sum_{\alpha} e_{k\alpha,n'+1} e_{k'\alpha,n'+1}^{*} \bigg) U_{cc}^{nn'}$$

$$+ \bigg(\sum_{\alpha\beta} e_{j\alpha,n+1}^{*}(\chi_{\alpha\beta,n+1}^{(2)} - \chi_{\alpha\beta,n}^{(2)}) e_{j'\beta,n+1} \bigg) \bigg(\sum_{\alpha\beta} e_{k\alpha,n'+1}(\chi_{\alpha\beta,n'+1}^{(2)*} - \chi_{\alpha\beta,n'}^{(2)*}) e_{k'\beta,n'+1}^{*} \bigg) U_{mm}^{nn'}$$

$$+ (\chi_{n+1} - \chi_{n}) \bigg(\sum_{\alpha} e_{j\alpha,n+1}^{*} e_{j'\alpha,n+1} \bigg) \bigg(\sum_{\alpha\beta} e_{k\alpha,n'+1}(\chi_{\alpha\beta,n'+1}^{(2)*} - \chi_{\alpha\beta,n'}^{(2)*}) e_{k'\beta,n'+1}^{*} \bigg) U_{cm}^{nn'} + \text{c.c.} \bigg],$$

$$(5.12)$$

where

$$U_{ll'}^{nn'} = \mathcal{A}e^{-(1/2)[q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')^2\sigma_l^2 + q_{p'z}^{n'+1*}(kk')^2\sigma_{l'}^2]} \int \int dXdY$$

 $\times e^{-i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{R}} (e^{q_{pz}^{n+1}(jj')q_{p'z}^{n'+1*}(kk')C_{ll',nn'}(\mathbf{R})} - 1).$
(5.13)

For circularly polarized incident x rays, the scattering intensities without polarization analysis for the outgoing beam can be also evaluated using Eqs. (4.8) and (4.9).

We shall now illustrate numerical examples calculated for a multilayer with N interfaces. For the calculation of diffuse scattering intensities, we have assumed again the self-affine fractal interfaces for the height-height correlation functions.⁷ For the cross-correlation function between the *n*th and *n*' th interfaces, the Schlomka *et al.*¹³ expression was extended as

$$C_{ll',nn'}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\sigma_{l,n}\sigma_{l',n'}}{2} (e^{-(|\mathbf{R}|/\xi_{ll',n})^{2h_{ll',n}}} + e^{-(|\mathbf{R}|/\xi_{ll',n'})^{2h_{ll',n'}}})e^{-|\overline{z}_n - \overline{z}_{n'}|/\xi_{\perp,ll'}},$$
(5.14)

where C_{cc} , C_{mm} , and C_{cm} are the cross-correlation functions for structural-structural, magnetic-magnetic, and structural-magnetic interfaces, respectively. Again, $\xi_{ll'}$ represents the lateral correlation lengths, $h_{ll'}$ the roughness exponents, and $\xi_{\perp,ll'}$ the vertical correlation lengths between different interfaces, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the results of calculations of x-ray resonant magnetic diffuse scattering intensities from a $[Gd(51 \text{ \AA})/Fe(34 \text{ \AA})]_{15}$ multilayer at the Gd L_2 edge (7929 eV), which was used in Sec. IX of Paper I.¹ Figures 4(a)-4(d) show the dynamical calculations in the DWBA using Eq. (5.11) in Sec. V, whereas Figs. 4(e)-4(h) show the kinematical ones using Eq. (2.21) in Sec. II. It can be clearly seen in the DWBA calculations that the anomalous scattering peaks¹² in the rocking curves of $(I_+ - I_-)$ intensities appear at the incident or exit angles corresponding to the positions of different order multilayer Bragg peaks, as shown in Figs. 4(b)-4(d). This has been observed experimentally by Nelson et al.,⁹ but it has not been simulated theoretically because the kinematical calculation used by them cannot explain these Bragg-like peaks in the rocking curves even for the chargescattering intensities, as shown in Figs. 4(e)-4(h). Nevertheless, kinematical calculations have been used widely because of their simplicity and good agreement of the overall features of the rocking curve with dynamical calculations.



FIG. 4. Calculated x-ray resonant magnetic diffuse scattering intensities from a $[Gd(51 \text{ Å})/Fe(34 \text{ Å})]_{15}$ multilayer at the Gd L_2 edge (7929 eV), which was used in Sec. IX of Paper I (Ref. 1). The roughness amplitudes $\sigma_{c,Fe/Gd}=4.7 \text{ Å}$, $\sigma_{c,Gd/Fe}=3.6 \text{ Å}$ for charge interfaces and $\sigma_{m,Fe/Gd}=\sigma_{m,Gd/Fe}=4.2 \text{ Å}$, $\sigma_{m,Gd/Gd}=4.6 \text{ Å}$ for magnetic interfaces were used. For diffuse scattering, lateral correlation lengths $(\xi_{cc}, \xi_{cm}, \xi_{mm}) = (240 \text{ Å}, 1000 \text{ Å}, 1500 \text{ Å})$, roughness exponents $h_{cc}=h_{cm}=h_{mm}=0.3$, and vertical correlation lengths $(\xi_{\perp,cc}, \xi_{\perp,cm}, \xi_{\perp,mm}) = (450 \text{ Å}, 670 \text{ Å}, 1400 \text{ Å})$ were assumed. Top panel [(a)-(d)] shows the dynamical calculations in the DWBA using Eq. (5.11) of Sec. V, whereas bottom panel [(e)-(h)] shows the kinematical ones using Eq. (2.21) of Sec. II. (a) and (e) represent longitudinal diffuse scattering intensities with an offset angle of 0.1°, and (b)–(d) and (f)–(h) represent transverse diffuse scattering (rocking curve) intensities at the second- to fourth-order multilayer Bragg peaks, respectively. Thick (thin) solid lines represent $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ ($\sigma \rightarrow \pi$) scatterings, and gray (black) filled circles the positive (negative) values of the differences between I_+ and I_- . The intensities of transverse scans are shown as a function of $[\theta_i - (2\theta/2)]$, where $2\theta = \theta_i + \theta_f$, in order to illustrate the low q_z scans better while $q_x = q_z \times [\theta_i - (2\theta/2)]$ where the angles are in radians.

In order to compare our dynamical theory with experimental data, we used the same experimental data as those in Ref. 9, where the experimental data were fitted using the kinematical calculations. Figure 5 shows the measured sum (a) and difference (b) of opposite photon helicity rocking curve data (circles), as presented earlier in Fig. 4 of Ref. 9, from a [Gd(53.2 Å])/Fe(36.4 Å)]₁₅ multilayer near the Gd L_3 edge (7245 eV). The rocking curves were measured at the second $(q_z = 0.147 \text{ Å}^{-1})$ and the third $(q_z = 0.215 \text{ Å}^{-1})$ multilayer Bragg peaks. The lines represent the fits calculated in the DWBA using Eq. (5.11). For the calculations, the charge and magnetic resonant scattering amplitudes near the Gd L_3 edge (7245 eV) were used as $f_c = 37.9 + 19.8i$ and $f_m = -0.22 + 0.48i$, whose relationship to A and B defined in Eq. (3.3) of Paper I¹ was discussed in Sec. VIII of Paper I.¹ Ferromagnetic layers were assumed to exist only near the Gd/Fe interfaces, and their layer thicknesses were 7.8 Å, as estimated in Ref. 9. From the best fit for both sum and difference intensities, we obtained the roughness amplitudes $\sigma_c = 7.2$ Å and $\sigma_m = 1.0$ Å, the lateral correlation lengths $\xi_{cc} = 240$ Å and $\xi_{cm} = 1000$ Å, the roughness exponents h_{cc} $=h_{cm}=0.3$, and the vertical correlation lengths $\xi_{\perp,cc}$ =440 Å and $\xi_{\perp,cm}$ =670 Å. When compared with the kinematical calculations presented as the solid lines in Fig. 4 of Ref. 9, the DWBA calculations in Fig. 5 show clearly that the anomalous scattering features indicated by the arrows in Fig. 5 can be explained well by the dynamical theory in the DWBA for both sum and difference intensities.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown in this paper and the preceding Paper I¹ that one can generalize the conventional theory of ordinary (Thomson) x-ray scattering from surfaces possessing selfaffine structural roughness to the case of resonant magnetic x-ray scattering from surfaces or interfaces of ferromagnetic materials possessing both structural and magnetic roughnesses. For this purpose, we have represented the deviations from a smooth magnetic interface in terms of "rough" magnetic interface, distinct from the structural interface (but possibly correlated strongly with it), with its own self-affine roughness parameters and parameters representing the correlation of the structural with the magnetic roughness height fluctuations. Components of the magnetization at the interface which are disordered on much shorter length scales are ignored in this treatment, as they will scatter at much lager q values than those of interest here. The decrease of the inplane averaged magnetization as a function of distance from the interface is taken into account by a form factor $\varphi(q_z)$ which is the Fourier transform with respect to z of the derivative of graded average magnetization density, and a magnetic dead layer is taken into account by allowing for a possible separation Δ along the z axis of the average structural and magnetic interfaces. In addition to magnetic roughness, magnetic domains can also give rise to offspecular scattering and their effect has also been included in the formalism. Formulas have been derived both in the BA and the DWBA for both single and multiple interfaces. Numerical illustrations have been given for typical examples of each of these



FIG. 5. Measured sum $[(I_++I_-), (a)]$ and difference $[(I_+-I_-), (b)]$ of opposite photon helicity rocking curve data (circles) at the second $(q_z=0.147 \text{ Å}^{-1})$ and the third $(q_z=0.215 \text{ Å}^{-1})$ multilayer Bragg peaks, which have been presented earlier in Fig. 4 of Ref. 9. The lines represent the dynamical calculations in the DWBA using Eq. (5.11) and explain well the anomalous scattering features indicated by the arrows in both sum and difference intensities. The sample was a $[Gd(53.2 \text{ Å})/Fe(36.4 \text{ Å})]_{15}$ multilayer, and the photon energy was tuned at 7245 eV (Gd L_3 edge).

systems and compared with the experimental data from a Gd/Fe multilayer.

We hope that the expressions given here and in Paper I¹ will be useful in helping to analyze the rapidly increasing amount of magnetic x-ray scattering data currently being accumulated from magnetic thin film and multilayer systems and in extracting meaningful parameters regarding both the structural and magnetic roughness. This information will help in the understanding of the magnetic and magnetotransport properties of these multilayered systems. The codes for the calculations in this paper and the preceding one are also available in C language from one of the authors (D.R.L.).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work at Argonne was supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38.

APPENDIX: EXPLICIT EXPRESSIONS FOR THE SCATTERING CROSS SECTIONS IN THE BORN APPROXIMATION (BA)

In order to obtain explicit expressions for the scattering intensities in Eq. (2.16) or (2.18), the polarization-dependent

terms denoted by Eq. (2.9) should be calculated for a given scattering geometry.¹⁶ We here consider a common scattering geometry where \mathbf{k}_i , \mathbf{k}_f are both in the x-z plane (i.e., no out-of-plane scattering), as depicted in Fig. 1, and

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\sigma}(\mu) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\sigma}(\nu) = \hat{\mathbf{y}},$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pi}(\mu) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}\sin\theta_i + \hat{\mathbf{z}}\cos\theta_i,$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pi}(\nu) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(-\sin\theta_f) + \hat{\mathbf{z}}\cos\theta_f.$$
(A1)

 μ , ν represent the incident and final photon states, and θ_i , θ_f are incident and scattered angles, respectively.

Now we redefine G_c and G_m in Eq. (2.9) in terms of $G_{1,2,3}$, which are more convenient for explicit calculations, by

$$G_c = (\rho_1 - \rho_2)G_1, \quad G_m = in_m(\tilde{B}G_2 + i\tilde{C}G_3),$$
 (A2)

where

$$G_{1} = (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^{*} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}),$$

$$G_{2} = (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^{*} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{M}},$$

$$G_{3} = (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu}^{*} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{M}})(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mu} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{M}}).$$
(A3)

Inserting Eq. (A1) in Eq. (A3), we obtain

$$G_1^{\sigma\sigma} = 1, \qquad G_1^{\sigma\pi} = G_1^{\pi\sigma} = 0, \qquad G_1^{\pi\pi} = \cos(\theta_i + \theta_f),$$

$$G_2^{\sigma\sigma} = 0, \qquad G_2^{\sigma\pi} = -M_x \cos \theta_f - M_z \sin \theta_f,$$

$$G_2^{\pi\sigma} = M_x \cos \theta_i - M_z \sin \theta_i, \qquad G_2^{\pi\pi} = M_y \sin(\theta_i + \theta_f),$$

$$G_3^{\sigma\sigma} = M_y^2, \qquad G_3^{\sigma\pi} = -M_x M_y \sin \theta_f + M_y M_z \cos \theta_f,$$

$$G_{3}^{\pi\sigma} = M_{x}M_{y}\sin\theta_{i} + M_{y}M_{z}\cos\theta_{i},$$

$$G_{3}^{\pi\pi} = -M_{x}^{2}\sin\theta_{i}\sin\theta_{f} + M_{z}^{2}\cos\theta_{i}\cos\theta_{f}$$

$$+ M_{z}M_{x}\sin(\theta_{i} - \theta_{f}), \qquad (A4)$$

where the first and second indices of the superscripts represent the polarizations of the incident and final photon states, respectively. The offspecular scattering can be then expressed explicitly from Eq. (2.18) by

 $\alpha \pi \sigma$

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\sigma\sigma} &= \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} \{|\rho_1 - \rho_2|^2 S_{cc}' + n_m^2 |\tilde{C}|^2 M_y^4 S_{mm}' \\ &\quad -2(\rho_1 - \rho_2) n_m \text{Re}[\tilde{C}^* e^{-iq_z \Delta}] M_y^2 S_{cm}' \}, \\ \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\sigma\pi} &= \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} n_m^2 \{|\tilde{B}|^2 (M_x \cos \theta_f + M_z \sin \theta_f)^2 \\ &\quad + |\tilde{C}|^2 M_y^2 (M_x \sin \theta_f - M_z \cos \theta_f)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \text{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^*] \{(M_x^2 - M_z^2) M_y \sin \theta_f \cos \theta_f \\ &\quad - M_x M_y M_z \cos(2\theta_f)\} \} S_{mm}', \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\pi\sigma} &= \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} n_m^2 \{|\tilde{B}|^2 (M_x \cos \theta_i - M_z \sin \theta_i)^2 \\ &+ |\tilde{C}|^2 M_y^2 (M_x \sin \theta_i + M_z \cos \theta_i)^2 \\ &+ 2 \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^*] \{ (M_x^2 - M_z^2) M_y \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i \\ &+ M_x M_y M_z \cos(2\,\theta_i) \} \} S'_{mm}, \end{split}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\pi\pi} = \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} (|\rho_1 - \rho_2|^2 \cos^2(\theta_i + \theta_f) S_{cc}' + n_m^2 \{|\tilde{B}|^2 M_y^2 \sin^2(\theta_i + \theta_f) + |\tilde{C}|^2 [M_x^2 \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_f - M_z^2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_f - M_z M_x \sin(\theta_i - \theta_f)]^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^*] M_y \sin(\theta_i + \theta_f) [M_x^2 \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_f - M_z^2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_f - M_z M_x \sin(\theta_i - \theta_f)] \} S_{mm}'$$

$$+ 2(\rho_1 - \rho_2) n_m \{\operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}^* e^{-iq_z \Delta}] M_y \cos(\theta_i + \theta_f) \sin(\theta_i + \theta_f) + \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{C}^* e^{-iq_z \Delta}]$$

$$\times \cos(\theta_i + \theta_f) [M_x^2 \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_f - M_z^2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_f - M_z M_x \sin(\theta_i - \theta_f)] \} S_{cm}').$$
(A5)

Replacing $S'_{ij}(i,j=c,m)$ by $e^{-iq_z(\overline{z}_i-\overline{z}_j)}e^{-(1/2)q_z^2(\sigma_i^2+\sigma_j^2)}$ and r_0^2/q_z^2 by $16\pi^2 r_0^2/q_z^4$, respectively, and setting $\theta_i = \theta_f$, the explicit expression of the specular reflectivity in Eqs. (2.16) and (2.17) can be immediately obtained.

We also consider the case where the difference between the scattering intensities for right (\hat{e}_{+}) and left (\hat{e}_{-}) circularly polarized incident x rays is measured (mostly for ferromagnetic systems). From Eq. (A1), the circular polarization vectors are given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pm}(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\sigma} \pm i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pi}(\mu)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\hat{\mathbf{y}} \pm i (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta_i + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta_i)],$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pm}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\sigma} \pm i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\pi}(\nu)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\hat{\mathbf{y}} \pm i(-\hat{\mathbf{x}}\sin\theta_f + \hat{\mathbf{z}}\cos\theta_f)],$$
(A6)

and, inserting these in Eq. (A3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} G_{1}^{++} &= G_{1}^{--} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})], \\ G_{1}^{+-} &= G_{1}^{-+} = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})], \\ G_{2}^{++} &= (G_{2}^{--})^{*} = \frac{1}{2} \{ i [M_{x} (\cos \theta_{i} + \cos \theta_{f}) \\ &+ M_{z} (\sin \theta_{f} - \sin \theta_{i})] + M_{y} \sin(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f}) \}, \\ G_{2}^{+-} &= (G_{2}^{-+})^{*} = \frac{1}{2} \{ i [M_{x} (\cos \theta_{i} - \cos \theta_{f}) \\ &- M_{z} (\sin \theta_{f} + \sin \theta_{i})] - M_{y} \sin(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f}) \}, \\ G_{3}^{++} &= (G_{3}^{--})^{*} = \frac{1}{2} \{ i [M_{x} M_{y} (\sin \theta_{i} + \sin \theta_{f}) \\ &+ M_{y} M_{z} (\cos \theta_{i} - \cos \theta_{f})] + [-M_{x}^{2} \sin \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{f} \\ &+ M_{y}^{2} + M_{z}^{2} \cos \theta_{i} \cos \theta_{f} + M_{x} M_{z} \sin(\theta_{i} - \theta_{f})] \}, \end{split}$$

$$G_3^{+-} = (G_3^{-+})^* = \frac{1}{2} \{ i [M_x M_y (\sin \theta_i - \sin \theta_f) + M_y M_z (\cos \theta_i + \cos \theta_f)] + [M_x^2 \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_f + M_y^2 - M_z^2 \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_f - M_x M_z \sin(\theta_i - \theta_f)] \}.$$
 (A7)

Since the difference of the scattering intensities between positive and negative helicities of circularly incident polarization without polarization analysis for the outgoing beam can be evaluated as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{+} - \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{-}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{++} + \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{+-} - \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{-+} - \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{--} \right],$$
(A8)

inserting Eq. (A7) into Eqs. (2.16) and (2.18), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{+} - \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{-} = \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{q_{z}^{2}} \{(\rho_{1} - \rho_{2})n_{m}(\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{B}^{*}e^{-iq_{z}\Delta}](-1)\{M_{x}[\cos\theta_{i} + \cos\theta_{f}\cos(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})] + M_{z}[-\sin\theta_{i} + \sin\theta_{f}\cos(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})]\} + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}^{*}e^{-iq_{z}\Delta}](-1)\{M_{x}M_{y}[\sin\theta_{i} + \sin\theta_{f}\cos(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})] + M_{y}M_{z}[\cos\theta_{i} - \cos\theta_{f}\cos(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})]\})S_{cm}' + n_{m}^{2}\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\{M_{x}M_{y}^{2}[\cos\theta_{i} - \sin\theta_{f}\sin(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})] + M_{z}M_{y}^{2}[-\sin\theta_{i} + \cos\theta_{f}\sin(\theta_{i} + \theta_{f})] - M_{x}^{3}\sin\theta_{i}\sin\theta_{f}\cos\theta_{f} + M_{z}^{3}\cos\theta_{i}\sin\theta_{f}\cos\theta_{f} + M_{x}M_{z}^{2}[\cos\theta_{i}\cos^{2}\theta_{f} + \sin\theta_{f}\sin(\theta_{i} - \theta_{f})] + M_{x}^{2}M_{z}[\cos\theta_{f}\sin(\theta_{i} - \theta_{f}) - \sin\theta_{i}\sin^{2}\theta_{f}]\}S_{mm}'' \},$$

$$(A9)$$

and

$$R_{+} - R_{-} = \frac{16\pi^{2}r_{0}^{2}}{q_{z}^{4}} \{2(\rho_{1} - \rho_{2})n_{m}\{\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{B}^{*}e^{-iq_{z}\Delta}](-1)(M_{x}\cos^{3}\theta_{i} - M_{z}\sin^{3}\theta_{i}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}^{*}e^{-iq_{z}\Delta}](-1)(M_{x}M_{y}\sin\theta_{i}\cos^{2}\theta_{i} + M_{y}M_{z}\cos\theta_{i}\sin^{2}\theta_{i})\}e^{-(1/2)q_{z}^{2}(\sigma_{c}^{2} + \sigma_{m}^{2})} + n_{m}^{2}\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}][M_{x}M_{y}^{2}\cos\theta_{i}\cos(2\theta_{i}) + M_{z}M_{y}^{2}\sin\theta_{i}\cos(2\theta_{i}) - M_{x}^{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{i}\cos\theta_{i} + M_{z}^{3}\cos^{2}\theta_{i}\sin\theta_{i} + M_{x}M_{z}^{2}\cos^{3}\theta_{i} - M_{x}^{2}M_{z}\sin^{3}\theta_{i}]e^{-q_{z}^{2}\sigma_{m}^{2}}\}.$$
(A10)

For a multilayer with N interfaces, we have assumed that resonant magnetic scattering amplitudes \tilde{B}_n , \tilde{C}_n of each layer have the same value \tilde{B} , \tilde{C} for all resonant layers, because these parameters depend primarily on each resonant

atom itself. On the other hand, \hat{M}_n , $n_{m,n}$ can have different directions and densities for each layer. We redefine again $\tilde{G}_{c,n}$ and $\tilde{G}_{m,n}$ in Eq. (2.20) in terms of $G_{1,2,3}$ defined in Eq. (A3) by

$$\tilde{G}_{c,n} = (\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n) G_1 \equiv \Delta \rho_n G_1,$$

$$\tilde{G}_{m,n} = i \tilde{B}[n_{m,n+1} G_{2,n+1} - n_{m,n} G_{2,n}] - \tilde{C}[n_{m,n+1} G_{3,n+1} - n_{m,n} G_{3,n}] \equiv i [\tilde{B} \Delta G_{2,n} + i \tilde{C} \Delta G_{3,n}], \quad (A11)$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 68, 224410 (2003)

and using Eq. (A4) the explicit expressions for these terms in the cases of linearly polarized x rays, i.e., $\sigma\sigma$, $\sigma\pi$, $\pi\sigma$, and $\pi\pi$ scatterings, can be easily obtained. Since G_1 , $\Delta G_{2,i}$, and $\Delta G_{3,i}$ of all layers are real for linear polarizations as shown in Eq. (A4), the offspecular scattering from a multilayer in Eq. (2.21) may be expressed in a more practical form as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\mu \to \nu} = \frac{r_0^2}{q_z^2} \sum_{n}^{N} \left[|\Delta \rho_n|^2 G_1^2 S_{cc,nn}' + \{|\tilde{B}|^2 \Delta G_{2,n}^2 + |\tilde{C}|^2 \Delta G_{3,n}^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^*] \Delta G_{2,n} \Delta G_{3,n}\} S_{mm,nn}' \right. \\ \left. + 2 \{ (\operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n} - \operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n}) \cos(q_z \Delta_n) - (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n}) \sin(q_z \Delta_n) \} S_{cm,nn}' + 2 \sum_{n'>n}^{N} \left[\{\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \Delta \rho_{n'}^*] G_1^2 \cos(q_z d_{nn'}) + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \Delta \rho_{n'}^*] G_1^2 \sin(q_z d_{nn'}) \} S_{cc,nn'}' + \{(|\tilde{B}|^2 \Delta G_{2,n} \Delta G_{2,n'} + |\tilde{C}|^2 \Delta G_{3,n} \Delta G_{3,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^*] \Delta G_{2,n} \Delta G_{3,n'} - \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}\tilde{B}^*] \Delta G_{3,n} \Delta G_{2,n'}) \cos(q_z d_{nn'}) + (-\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^*] \Delta G_{2,n} \Delta G_{3,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^*] \Delta G_{3,n} \Delta G_{2,n'}) \sin(q_z d_{nn'}) \} S_{mm,nn'}' + (\{(\operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} - \operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \cos(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] - (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] + (\operatorname{Re}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{B}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{2,n'} + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta \rho_n \tilde{C}^*] G_1 \Delta G_{3,n'}) \sin(q_z (\Delta_n + d_{nn'})] \} \right\}$$

where $\{n \leftrightarrow n'\}$ refers to exchanging *n* and *n'* in the preceding term involving with $S'_{cm,nn'}$, $d_{nn'} = \overline{z}_n - \overline{z}'_n$, and $S'_{ij,nn'}$ (*i*, *j* = *c*,*m*) were defined in Eq. (2.22).

The difference of the scattering intensities from a multilayer between opposite helicities of circularly polarized x rays can be also explicitly expressed from Eq. (2.21) and Eqs. (A7)–(A8) as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{+} - \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{-} = \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{q_{z}^{2}} \sum_{n}^{N} \left[\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{0})S'_{mm,nn} + \{(\operatorname{Re}[\Delta\rho_{n}\tilde{B}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{1}') + \operatorname{Im}[\Delta\rho_{n}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{2}'))\cos(q_{z}\Delta_{n}) + (\operatorname{Im}[\Delta\rho_{n}\tilde{B}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{1}') - \operatorname{Re}[\Delta\rho_{n}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{2}'))\sin(q_{z}\Delta_{n})\}S'_{cm,nn} + \sum_{n'>n}^{N} \left[\{(\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{0}) + \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{C}\tilde{B}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{3}) + |\tilde{C}|^{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{4}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{3}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}[^{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{4})] + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{3}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}[^{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{4})] + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{3}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}[^{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{4})] + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{3}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}[^{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{4})] + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{3}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}[^{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{4})] + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{3}) + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{C}[^{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{4})] + \operatorname{Im}[\tilde{B}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{5})) \operatorname{Im}[q_{z}d_{nn'}]\}S'_{mm,nn'} + \left\{ \operatorname{Im}[\Delta\rho_{n}\tilde{B}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{1}') - \operatorname{Re}[\Delta\rho_{n}\tilde{C}^{*}]\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{2}')) \operatorname{Im}[q_{z}(\Delta_{n}'+d_{nn'})] \right\}S'_{cm,nn'} + \left\{ n \leftrightarrow n' \right\}S'_{cm,n',n} \right\} \right\} \right],$$

$$(A13)$$

where

$$\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(0)}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{p}) = n_{m,n+1}n_{m,n'+1}\Gamma_{p}(n+1,n'+1;\theta_{i},\theta_{f}) + n_{m,n}n_{m,n'}\Gamma_{p}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f}) - n_{m,n}n_{m,n'+1}\Gamma_{p}(n,n'+1;\theta_{i},\theta_{f})$$

$$-n_{m,n+1}n_{m,n'}\Gamma_{p}(n+1,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f}),$$

$$\Delta_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f};\Gamma_{p}') = -n_{m,n+1}\Gamma_{p}'(n+1;\theta_{i},\theta_{f}) + n_{m,n}\Gamma_{p}'(n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f}),$$
(A14)
and
$$\Gamma_{0}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f}) = (M_{x}^{n}\cos\theta_{f} + M_{z}^{n}\sin\theta_{f})[(M_{z}^{n'})^{2}\cos\theta_{i}\cos\theta_{f} + M_{x}^{n'}M_{z}^{n'}\sin(\theta_{i}-\theta_{f}) - (M_{x}^{n'})^{2}\sin\theta_{i}\sin\theta_{f}]$$

$$+ (M^{n}\cos\theta_{i} - M^{n}\sin\theta_{i})(M^{n'})^{2} - M^{n}\sin(\theta_{i}+\theta_{f})(M^{n'}M^{n'}\sin\theta_{f} - M^{n'}M^{n'}\cos\theta_{f}).$$

$$\Gamma'(n; \theta, \theta) = M_{z}^{n} \cos \theta - M_{z}^{n} \sin \theta + \cos(\theta + \theta)(M_{x}^{n} \log \theta + M_{y}^{n} \sin \theta)$$

$$\Gamma_1(n;\theta_i,\theta_f) = M_x \cos \theta_i - M_z \sin \theta_i + \cos(\theta_i + \theta_f) (M_x \cos \theta_f + M_z \sin \theta_f),$$

$$\Gamma_2'(n;\theta_i,\theta_f) = M_x^n M_y^n \sin \theta_i + M_y^n M_z^n \cos \theta_i + \cos(\theta_i + \theta_f) (M_x^n M_y^n \sin \theta_f - M_y^n M_z^n \cos \theta_f),$$

$$\Gamma_3(n,n';\theta_i,\theta_f) = M_y^{n'} \sin(\theta_i + \theta_f) (M_x^n \cos \theta_f + M_z^n \sin \theta_f) - M_y^n \sin(\theta_i + \theta_f) (M_x^{n'} \cos \theta_f + M_z^{n'} \sin \theta_f),$$

$$\Gamma_{4}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f}) = (M_{y}^{n'})^{2} (M_{x}^{n} M_{y}^{n} \sin \theta_{i} + M_{y}^{n} M_{z}^{n} \cos \theta_{i}) - (M_{y}^{n})^{2} (M_{x}^{n'} M_{y}^{n'} \sin \theta_{i} + M_{y}^{n'} M_{z}^{n'} \cos \theta_{i}) + [(M_{z}^{n'})^{2} \cos \theta_{i} \cos \theta_{f} + M_{x}^{n'} M_{z}^{n'} \sin(\theta_{i} - \theta_{f}) - (M_{x}^{n'})^{2} \sin \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{f}] (M_{x}^{n} M_{y}^{n} \sin \theta_{f} - M_{y}^{n} M_{z}^{n} \cos \theta_{f}) - [(M_{z}^{n})^{2} \cos \theta_{i} \cos \theta_{f} + M_{x}^{n} M_{z}^{n} \sin(\theta_{i} - \theta_{f}) - (M_{x}^{n})^{2} \sin \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{f}] (M_{x}^{n'} M_{y}^{n'} \sin \theta_{f} - M_{y}^{n'} M_{z}^{n'} \cos \theta_{f}),$$

$$\Gamma_{5}(n,n';\theta_{i},\theta_{f}) = \Gamma_{0}(n',n;\theta_{i},\theta_{f}). \qquad (A15)$$

Again, replacing $S'_{ij,nn'}(i,j=c,m)$ by $e^{-iq_z(\bar{z}_{i,n}-\bar{z}_{j,n'})}e^{-1/2q_z^2(\sigma_{i,n}^2+\sigma_{j,n'}^2)}$ and r_0^2/q_z^2 by $16\pi^2 r_0^2/q_z^4$, respectively, and setting $\theta_i = \theta_f$, the explicit expression of the difference of the specular reflectivities with opposite helicities can be immediately obtained from Eq. (A13).

- ¹D. R. Lee, S. K. Sinha, D. Haskel, Y. Choi, J. C. Lang, S. A. Stepanov, and G. Srajer, preceding paper, Phys. Rev. **68**, 224409 (2003).
- ²J. F. MacKay, C. Teichert, D. E. Savage, and M. G. Lagally, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3925 (1996).
- ³J. W. Freeland, K. Bussmann, Y. U. Idzerda, and C.-C. Kao, Phys. Rev. B **60**, R9923 (1999); J. W. Freeland, K. Bussmann, and Y. U. Idzerda, Appl. Phys. Lett. **76**, 2603 (2000).
- ⁴E. E. Fullerton, D. M. Kelly, J. Guimpel, I. K. Schuller, and Y. Bruynseraede, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 859 (1992).
- ⁵S. S. P. Parkin, in *Ultrathin Magnetic Structures II*, edited by B. Heinrich and J. A. C. Bland (Springer, Berlin, 1994), p. 148; A. Fert and P. Bruno, *ibid*, p. 82.
- ⁶J. Barnaś and G. Palasantzas, J. Appl. Phys. 82, 3950 (1997).
- ⁷S. K. Sinha, E. B. Sirota, S. Garoff, and H. B. Stanley, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 2297 (1988).

- ⁸R. M. Osgood III, S. K. Sinha, J. W. Freeland, Y. U. Idzerda, and S. D. Bader, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **198–199**, 698 (1999).
- ⁹C. S. Nelson, G. Srajer, J. C. Lang, C. T. Venkataraman, S. K. Sinha, H. Hashizume, N. Ishimatsu, and N. Hosoito, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 12 234 (1999).
- ¹⁰S. K. Sinha, in *Neutron Scattering in Materials Science*, edited by D. A. Neumann, T. P. Russell, and B. J. Wuensch, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 376 (Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, 1995), p. 175.
- ¹¹B. P. Toperverg, Physica B **297**, 160 (2001); Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. **74**, S1560 (2002).
- ¹²V. Holý and T. Baumbach, Phys. Rev. B 49, 10 668 (1994).
- ¹³J. P. Schlomka, M. Tolan, L. Schwalowsky, O. H. Seeck, J. Stettner, and W. Press, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 2311 (1995).
- ¹⁴D. R. Lee, Y. J. Park, D. Kim, Y. H. Jeong, and K.-B. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 57, 8786 (1998).
- ¹⁵S. A. Stepanov and S. K. Sinha, Phys. Rev. B 61, 15 302 (2000).
- ¹⁶J. P. Hill and D. F. McMorrow, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. **52**, 236 (1996).

^{*}Electronic address: drlee@aps.anl.gov

[†]Present address: NRL-SRC, National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973.