

Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 198-199 (1999) 24-26



## Study of electronic and magnetic structure of Fe/Cr superlattices with various Fe layer thicknesses

V.V. Ustinov, M.M. Kirillova, I.D. Lobov\*, L.N. Romashev, V.M. Maevskii, M.A. Milyaev, O.N. Kiseleva

Institute of Metal Physics, Ural Division of RAS, 18 S. Kovalevskaya St., Ekaterinburg, GSP-170 620219, Russia

## Abstract

The giant magnetoresistance, magnetic, optical, and magnetooptical properties of the MBE-grown Fe/Cr superlattice series on single-crystal MgO(1 0 0) substrate with various Fe layer thicknesses ( $t_{\rm Fe} = 3-48$  Å) are studied. The angles  $\theta_0$  characterizing the noncollinear magnetic ordering in superlattices are defined. The nonmonotonic dependence on Fe layer thickness of properties investigated is discussed. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Magnetic superlattice; Magnetoresistance; Noncollinear ordering; Magnetooptics

In Fe/Cr superlattices (SLs), the giant magnetoresistance (GMR) dependence on Cr layer thickness ( $t_{Cr}$ ) is known to be of nonmontonic, oscillatory-like character due to the exchange coupling effect. The dependence on the Fe layer thickness ( $t_{Fe}$ ) remains less studied and the concerned results are contradictory [1,2]. In this work the measurements of the GMR, magnetic, optical, and magnetooptical (MO) properties are performed to study their dependence on  $t_{Fe}$ .

The  $[Fe(t)/Cr(10 \text{ Å})]_n/Cr 90 \text{ Å}/MgO(1 0 0)$  SLs with  $t_{Fe} = 3-48 \text{ Å}$  were grown by the MBE method. The 90 Å Cr buffer was deposited on the substrate at a temperature of 600°C to establish the epitaxial orientation with the substrate. The substrate was then cooled down to 180°C, and the SL was grown by sequential deposition of Fe and Cr layers. The number of bilayers *n* was adjusted in such a way that the total SL thickness was constant ~ 1000 Å. The formation and periodicity of a single-

crystal structure were confirmed by low and high-angle X-ray diffraction with Co  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation. Magnetoresistance (MR) was measured by a standard four-probe technique in applied magnetic fields H up to 32 kOe. The MR is defined as  $r = \left[\rho(H) - \rho(0)\right]/\rho(0)$ , with  $\rho(0) = \rho(H = 0), \rho(H)$  being the resistivity in the field H. The magnetization M was obtained using the VSM method in the field up to 17 kOe lying in the film plane. Measurements of the equatorial Kerr effect ( $\delta_p$ -effect) were performed in the spectral range  $\lambda = 0.3-2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  and in applied fields up to 10 kOe. The effective refractive index  $n_{\rm eff}$  and absorption coefficient  $k_{\rm eff}$  were measured using ellipsometric Beattie method in the spectral range  $\lambda = 0.25-7 \ \mu m$  and their values were used to calculate the optical conductivity  $\sigma_{\rm eff} = nk\omega/4\pi(\omega)$  is the circular frequency of light in vacuum). All measurements were performed at room temperature.

Recently it has been established [3–5] that the Fe/Cr SLs may have noncollinear (NC) magnetic structures characterized by misalignment angle  $\theta_0$  ( $0 < \theta_0 < 180^\circ$ ) in remanence between the magnetization vectors  $M_1$  and  $M_2(|M_1| = |M_2|)$  of neighbouring Fe layers. The NC structure can be described in terms of biquadratic exchange  $J_2$  which is introduced through the following

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: + 7-3432-745244; e-mail: optics@ifm.e-burg.su.

expression for exchange coupling energy:  $E_{\text{ex}} = J_1(M_1M_2) + J_2(M_1M_2)^2$ . If the in-plane anisotropy is weak compared to exchange, the NC phase exists in the range  $|J_1| < 2J_2$  [5], and

$$\cos\left(\theta_0/2\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - (J_1/4J_2)}.$$
(1)

In order to define the magnetic structure of a Fe/Cr SL, we have measured the magnetization curves, hysteresis loops, and field dependence of  $\delta_{\rm p}$ -effect. Fig. 1 shows the magnetic field dependence of r. The change of the  $t_{\rm Fe}$  is seen to lead to the substantial change of the shape of r-Hcurve and of the MR value. Fig. 2 shows how the saturation values of MR and magnetization ( $r_{\rm S}$  and  $M_{\rm S}$ ) change with  $t_{\rm Fe}$ . It is convenient to divide all the samples into three groups. The first one consists of the samples with ultrathin Fe layers ( $t_{\text{Fe}} = 3$ ; 4 Å); these samples exhibit rather small values of M<sub>s</sub> and anomalous minor magnitude of  $\delta_{\rm p}$ -effect. We believe that in these samples, iron is the superparamagnetic clusters. This is confirmed, in particular, by zero value of coercivity and by satisfactory description of M(H) dependence by the Langevin function. The second group with 6.5 Å  $\leq t_{\rm Fe} \leq 26$  Å is characterized by the presence of NC ordering which is close to being AFM. Such SLs have large values of saturation fields ( $H_{\rm S} > 10$  kOe), MR values (Fig. 1), and angles  $\theta_0$ (Fig. 3). Finally, the third group consists of the samples with  $t_{\rm Fe} > 30$  Å.  $M_{\rm S}$  and  $r_{\rm S}$  of these SLs (Fig. 2) weakly depend on  $t_{\rm Fe}$  and in addition the angle  $\theta_0$  is less than in the second group, indicating that the AFM coupling becomes reduced with increasing  $t_{\rm Fe}$ .

To determine  $\theta_0$ , we used the model of magnetizing the SL with NC ordering [5]. According to this model, there exists the critical magnetic field  $H_1$  ( $H_1 < H_S$ ) at which the vector  $M = \frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_2)$  becomes parallel to H and the angle between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  has a certain value  $\theta_1$ . In the fields  $H > H_1$ , there is the increase of SL magnetization due to a decrease of misalignment angle down to zero at  $H = H_S$ . The field  $H_1$  may be considered as equal to the field of confluence of branches of the maximal



Fig. 1. Dependence of magnetoresistance r on the magnetic field.

hysteresis loop. Generally,  $\theta_1 \leq \theta_0$  but if  $H_1 \ll H_S$  (in our case this condition is fulfilled), we may take  $\theta_0 \cong \theta_1$ . Then  $\theta_0$  can be obtained from the relation:

$$\delta_{\rm p}(H_1) = \delta_{\rm p}(H_{\rm S})\cos{(\theta_0/2)}.$$
(2)

Notice that finding  $\theta_0$  from Eq. (2), one does not need to know the  $\theta$ -H curve. Fig. 3 shows  $\theta_1$  versus  $t_{Fe}$  for  $t_{Fe} \ge 6.5$  Å. One can see that over the interval  $6.5 < t_{Fe} < 26$  Å, the angle  $\theta_0$  experiences insignificant oscillations in the vicinity of  $160^\circ$  and it has a tendency of lowering with further rise of  $t_{Fe}$ . The  $\theta_0$  versus  $t_{Fe}$  dependence differs sufficiently from the dependence of  $\theta_0$  on  $t_{Cr}$  [6], which has the striking oscillatory-like character with a period of ~ 18 Å (Fig. 3). The ratio  $J_1/J_2$ , calculated in accordance with Eq. (1) is also shown in Fig. 3.

We investigated the spectral dependence of diagonal  $\sigma_{xx}$  over the range  $0.25 < \lambda < 7 \,\mu\text{m}$  and off-diagonal  $\sigma_{xy}$  ( $\lambda = 0.3-2.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) components of effective optical conductivity tensor  $\hat{\sigma} = i\omega/4\pi(\hat{\epsilon} - 1)$  of the SLs ( $\hat{\epsilon}$  is the effective dielectric tensor). The thickness dependence of Re  $\sigma_{xx}(t_{\text{Fe}})$  and  $\omega \,\text{Im} \,\sigma_{xy}(t_{\text{Fe}})$  of Fe(*t*)/Cr(10 Å) system is shown in Fig. 4a and b. The curves calculated within the frames of the phenomenological theory of a multilayered



Fig. 2. Dependence of |r| and  $M_s$  on the Fe layer thickness.



Fig. 3. Thickness dependence (1)  $\theta_0(t_{Fe})$ , (2)  $J_1/J_2(t_{Fe})$ , (3)  $\theta_0(t_{Cr})$  at  $t_{Fe} \sim 20$  Å [6].

structure and also shown (broken lines). In the calculations we have used  $\sigma_{xx}^{Cr}$ ,  $\sigma_{xx}^{Fe}$ , and  $\sigma_{xy}^{Fe}$  measured for thick  $(\sim 1000 \text{ Å})$  films. The nonmonotonic behaviour of the experimental Re  $\sigma_{xx}(t_{\rm Fe})$  and  $\omega \, {\rm Im} \, \sigma_{xy}(t_{\rm Fe})$  curves and their essential deviation from model curves is clearly seen. One can also see that in the infrared spectrum range the thickness dependence of Re  $\sigma_{xx}(t_{\rm Fe})$  is oscillatory-like with the period  $\Lambda \cong 10$  Å. In contrast, in the short-wave range of the spectrum ( $\lambda = 0.4-0.8 \,\mu\text{m}$ ), where the main interband absorption bands of Fe and Cr are formed, the oscillations of Re  $\sigma_{xx}(t_{\rm Fe})$  are smoothing away. In the latter range there is the essential enhancement of MO absorption at  $t_{\text{Fe}} = 14$ , 20 and 26 Å, see Fig. 4b. We may conclude that both the diagonal  $\sigma_{xx}$  and the off-diagonal  $\sigma_{xy}$  components of the effective optical conductivity tensor  $\hat{\sigma}$  change if  $t_{\rm Fe} < 30$  Å, which points to the modification of the electronic structure of multilayers. This modification may be considered as the manifestation of the quantum size effect, i.e. formation of quantum well states.

Thus we have shown that the angle  $\theta_0$  characterizing the noncollinear magnetic structure weakly depends on  $t_{\text{Fe}}$ , in contrast to the  $\theta_0$  dependence on  $t_{\text{Cr}}$ , which perhaps is due to strong  $t_{\text{Cr}}$  dependence of  $J_1$ . Both static (magnetoresistance and spontaneous magnetization) and high frequency (Re  $\sigma_{xx}$  and  $\omega \text{ Im } \sigma_{xy}$ ) properties of the superlattices studied show nonmonotonic dependence on Fe layer thickness. The shape of the thickness dependence can be due to the quantum size effects in multilayers.

We would like to thank N.G. Bebenin for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the RFBR by Grant No. 96-02-16370 and by Grant No. 97-1080 for Research Program 'Physics of Solid-State Nanostructures'.



Fig. 4. Thickness dependence on  $t_{\rm Fe}$ : (a) Re  $\sigma_{xx}$ ; (b)  $\omega \, {\rm Im} \, \sigma_{xy}$ ; broken curves are the results of calculation of these functions at  $\lambda = 0.5 \, \mu {\rm m}$ , solid lines are guide to the eye.

## References

- [1] S.N. Okuno, K. Inomata, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 764.
- [2] R. Schad, P. Beliën, J. Barnas et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 156 (1996) 341.
- [3] A. Schreyer, J.F. Anker, Th. Zeidler et al., Phys. Rev. B 52 (1995) 16066.
- [4] N.S. Almeida, D.L. Mills, Phys. Rev. B 52 (1995) 1354.
- [5] V.V. Ustinov, M.M. Kirillova, I.D. Lobov et al., JETP 82 (1996) 253.
- [6] V.V. Ustinov, M.M. Kirillova, I.D. Lobov et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 156 (1996) 179.